arXiv:hep-th/0511024v2 14 Nov 2005

Baxter Q-operators of the XXZ chain and R-matrix factorization

S. Derkachov^{a}, [1], D. Karakhanyan^{b}[2] & R. Kirschner^{c}[3]

[a] Department of Mathematics, St Petersburg Technology Institute,
Sankt Petersburg, Russia

[b] Yerevan Physics Institute,
Br.Alikhanian st. 2, 375036, Yerevan, Armenia.

[c] Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig,
PF 100920, D-04009 Leipzig, Germany

Abstract

We construct Baxter operators as generalized transfer matrices being traces of products of generic R matrices. The latter are shown to factorize into simpler operators allowing for explicit expressions in terms of functions of a Weyl pair of basic operators. These explicit expressions are the basis for explicit expression for Baxter Q-operators and for investigating their properties.

1 Introduction

We consider a periodic chain with integrable dynamics carrying generic representations ℓ_i of the q-deformed $sl(2)$ algebra on their sites, $i = 1, ..., N$. The considered infinite-dimensional representations of lowest weight type are realized in the space of polynomials.

Chains with infinite-dimensional representations on their sites play a role in the investigation of gauge field theories, in the high energy limit of scattering [1, 2] and in the renormalization of composite operators [3]. In these applications the polynomials can appear as wave functions, where the variable is Fourier conjugated to the parton light-cone momentum or as the expression of the particular structure of multiplicatively renormalized composite operators where the variable is the light-cone position of the field operators. Motivated by these applications the methods of integrable chains have been reformulated and developed in a number of papers.

The conserved charges of the integrable chain can be obtained by expansion of the transfer matrix $t(u)$ or equally well of a operator $Q(u, \ell_0)$ being the natural generalization of the transfer matrix, $t(u+\frac{1}{2}) = Q(u, \ell_0 = -\frac{1}{2})$, and obeying a Baxter relation with the transfer matrix (to be formulated below).

The concept of Q-operator was introduced by Baxter in analyzing the eight-vertex model [4]. Using the universal R-matrix for the $U_q(\hat{s\ell_2})$ affine algebra the Q-operator for quantum KdV model was constructed in [5]. The general algebraic scheme how to derive the algebraic relations between different objects of the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method was formulated in the paper of A.Antonov and B.Feigin [6]. The Baxter Q-operators have been constructed for different models in the papers [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 20, 21, 22]. The Q operators for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ chains have been

---

[1] e-mail:S.Derkachov@pobox.spbu.ru

[2] e-mail: karakhan@lx2.yerphi.am

[3] e-mail:Roland.Kirschner@itp.uni-leipzig.de

studied in [23] emphasizing the loop algebra representation theory and the case of q being a root of unity. Chains with q-deformed principal series representations of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ are studied in [24].

The idea of constructing Q-operators as generalized transfer matrices from R-operators acting in general representations is due to Sklyanin [13, 14] and the first explicit construction with this idea appeared in [15].

A factorization of the R matrix, acting on the tensor product of generic representations of the algebra $s\ell(2)$, has been established in [25] by considering the action of R in the RLL relation as the interchanging of representation and spectral parameter in the Lax matrices and decomposing this action into two steps. The defining relation, simplified for the factors $\mathcal{R}_\pm$, has been solved in terms of functions of the Heisenberg operator pair x, ∂. Then this explicit operator solution allows the explicit construction of Baxter operators [26]. Probably the first example of such factorization of R-matrix and Baxter's Q-operator has been obtained in the context of the chiral Potts model [16, 17, 18].

In the present paper the analogous factorization of the Yang-Baxter R matrix is performed in the q-deformed $sl(2)$ symmetric (XXZ) case. The R-operator for generic representations is represented in terms of functions of a Weyl pair of basic operators and Baxter operators are constructed.

Previously in [27] the Yang-Baxter R matrix acting on generic representations of the q-deformed $sl(2)$ has been represented in explicite spectral and integral forms. Similar to the classical papers by Jimbo [19] the defining RLL relation has been written equivalently in terms of the intertwining relation of the Drinfeld co-product type. This equivalent intertwining form of the defining relations will be the starting point of deriving the operator solutions for the factors $\mathcal{R}_\pm$ of the generic Yang-Baxter R matrix.

Also in [27] polynomial eigenfunctions of the R matrix are given explicitly. A generalization of these functions build the integral kernel of R. The functions appearing in the operator solution obtained in the present paper are closely related to the polynomial eigenfunctions of R. With particular substitutions of their parameters these polynomials serve also as eigenfunctions of the factors $\mathcal{R}_\pm$.

Using the explicit operator results about R matrices and their factors $\mathcal{R}_\pm$ we construct generalized transfer matrices and proof that they are Baxter operators, i.e. obey Baxter relations in products with the ordinary transfer matrix. We consider closed chains in general and then discuss specific features appearing in the important special case of homogeneous closed chains.

2 The quantum algebra $U_q(s\ell_2)$ and the general R-matrix

The quantum algebra $U_q(s\ell_2)$ has three generators $\mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{S}_\pm$ with commutation relations

$$[\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}_\pm] = \pm \mathbf{S}_\pm \ , \ [\mathbf{S}_+, \mathbf{S}_-] = [2\mathbf{S}]_q$$

where

$$[\mathbf{x}]_q \equiv \frac{q^{\mathbf{x}} - q^{-\mathbf{x}}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

is the standard definition of q-numbers.

We shall use the representation V_ℓ of $U_q(s\ell_2)$ in the infinite-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}[z]$ of polynomials in the variable z with the standard monomial basis $\left\{z^k\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and lowest weight vector $v_0 = 1$. The action of generators in V_ℓ is given by the first-order differential operators:

$$\mathrm{S} = z\partial + \ell \ , \ \mathrm{S}_- = -\frac{1}{z} \cdot [z\partial]_q \ , \ \mathrm{S}_+ = z \cdot [z\partial + 2\ell]_q \tag{2.1}$$

The Lax-operator has the form [28, 29, 27]

$$\mathrm{L}(u) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} [u + \mathrm{S}]_q & \mathrm{S}_- \\ \mathrm{S}_+ & [u - \mathrm{S}]_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [u + \ell + z\partial]_q & -\frac{1}{z} \cdot [z\partial]_q \\ z \cdot [2\ell + z\partial]_q & [u - \ell - z\partial]_q \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lax operator acts in the space $\mathbb{C}[z] \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and, despite the compact notation, $\mathrm{L}(u)$ depends actually on two parameters: spin ℓ and spectral parameter u. We shall use the parametrization $u_+ \equiv u + \ell, u_- \equiv u - \ell$ and display all parameters explicitly:

$$\mathrm{L}(u_+, u_-) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} [u_+ + z\partial]_q & \mathrm{S}_- \\ z \cdot [u_+ - u_- + z\partial]_q & [u_- - z\partial]_q \end{pmatrix}$$

except for the generator S_- because $\mathrm{S}_- = -\frac{1}{z} \cdot [z\partial]_q$ does not depend on parameters u_+ and u_-.

There exists a very useful factorized representation for the L-operator [30]

$$\mathrm{L}(u_+, u_-) = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ zq^{-u_-} & zq^{u_-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q^{z\partial} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-z\partial} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q^{u_+} & -\frac{q}{z} \\ -q^{-u_+} & \frac{1}{qz} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

The defining system of equations for the $\mathbb{R}$-operator has the form

$$\mathbb{R}_{12}(u - v) \cdot \mathrm{L}_1(u_+, u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+, v_-) = \mathrm{L}_2(v_+, v_-)\mathrm{L}_1(u_+, u_-) \cdot \mathbb{R}_{12}(u - v). \tag{2.3}$$

It is useful to extract the operator of permutation $\mathbb{P}_{12}$

$$\mathbb{P}_{12}\Psi(z_1, z_2) = \Psi(z_2, z_1) \ ; \ \Psi(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$$

from the $\mathbb{R}$-operator $\mathbb{R}_{12}(u - v) = \mathbb{P}_{12}\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+, u_-|v_+, v_-)$ and write the defining equation for the $\check{\mathbb{R}}$-operator (the check form of YB relation)

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+, u_-|v_+, v_-)\mathrm{L}_1(u_+, u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+, v_-) = \mathrm{L}_1(v_+, v_-)\mathrm{L}_2(u_+, u_-)\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+, u_-|v_+, v_-). \tag{2.4}$$

Proposition 1 *The defining system of equations (2.4) for the operator $\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}$ is equivalent to the following system of equations [19, 27, 29]*

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12} \cdot q^{z_1\partial_1 + z_2\partial_2} = q^{z_1\partial_1 + z_2\partial_2} \cdot \check{\mathbb{R}}_{12} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12} \cdot \left(\mathrm{S}_1^- \cdot q^{\pm(v_- - z_2\partial_2)} + \mathrm{S}_2^- \cdot q^{\pm(u_+ + z_1\partial_1)}\right) = \left(\mathrm{S}_1^- \cdot q^{\pm(u_- - z_2\partial_2)} + \mathrm{S}_2^- \cdot q^{\pm(v_+ + z_1\partial_1)}\right) \cdot \check{\mathbb{R}}_{12} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12} \cdot \left(z_1 \cdot [z_1\partial_1 + u_+ - u_-]_q \cdot q^{\pm(v_+ + z_2\partial_2)} + z_2 \cdot [z_2\partial_2 + v_+ - v_-]_q \cdot q^{\pm(u_- - z_1\partial_1)}\right) = \\ &= \left(z_1 \cdot [z_1\partial_1 + v_+ - v_-]_q \cdot q^{\pm(u_+ + z_2\partial_2)} + z_2 \cdot [z_2\partial_2 + u_+ - u_-]_q \cdot q^{\pm(v_- - z_1\partial_1)}\right) \cdot \check{\mathbb{R}}_{12} \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We shall extensively use the following reduction to indicate a simple way how to derive the equations (2.5)-(2.7). Let us do the shift $u_+ \to u_+ + \lambda$, $u_- \to u_- + \lambda$, $v_+ \to v_+ + \lambda$, $v_- \to v_- + \lambda$ in the defining equation. The $\mathbb{R}$-operator is invariant under this shift and the L-operators transform in a simple way

$$\mathrm{L}_1(u_+ + \lambda, u_- + \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{S}_1^- \\ z_1 \cdot [z_1\partial_1 + u_+ - u_-]_q & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{q^\lambda}{q - q^{-1}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q^{u_+ + z_1\partial_1} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{u_- - z_1\partial_1} \end{pmatrix} + \{q \mapsto q^{-1}\}$$

$$\mathrm{L}_2(v_+ + \lambda, v_- + \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{S}_2^- \\ z_2 \cdot [z_2\partial_2 + v_+ - v_-]_q & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{q^\lambda}{q - q^{-1}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} q^{v_+ + z_2\partial_2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{v_- - z_2\partial_2} \end{pmatrix} + \{q \mapsto q^{-1}\}$$

where the term $\{q \mapsto q^{-1}\}$ is obtained from the second term by the substitution $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ so that it is proportional to $q^{-\lambda}$. We obtain three sets of equations as the consequence of the defining equation. Matching coefficients in front of $q^{2\lambda}$ and $q^{-2\lambda}$ we obtain the equation (2.5). Matching coefficients in front of q^λ we derive (2.6) and (2.7) with sign plus and matching coefficients in front of $q^{-\lambda}$ we obtain the same equations ($q \mapsto q^{-1}$) with sign minus. It is possible to show that the system of equations (2.5)-(2.7) is equivalent to the initial defining system [19, 27, 29].

The general R-matrix can be represented as the product of the simple building blocks denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{\pm}$. The main idea is very simple. The operator $\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}$ interchanges simultaneously u_+ with v_+ and u_- with v_- in the product of two Lax-operators

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_+,v_-)\mathrm{L}_1(u_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,v_-) = \mathrm{L}_1(v_+,v_-)\mathrm{L}_2(u_+,u_-)\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_+,v_-). \tag{2.8}$$

Let us perform this operation in two steps. In the first step we interchange the parameters u_- with v_- only. The parameters u_+ and v_+ remain the same. In this way one obtains the natural defining equation for the operator $\mathcal{R}^-$. In the case when $u_- = v_- = v$ there is no interchange of parameters so that it is natural to expect that the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-)$ is reduced to the unit operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,v|v) = \mathbb{1}$.

Proposition 2 *There exists the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ which is the solution of the defining equations*

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\mathrm{L}_1(u_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,v_-) = \mathrm{L}_1(u_+,v_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,u_-)\mathcal{R}^-_{12} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12} = \mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-)\ ;\ \mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-) = \mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_++\lambda,u_-+\lambda|v_-+\lambda)$$

The defining system (2.9) is equivalent to the system

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\cdot q^{z_1\partial_1+z_2\partial_2} = q^{z_1\partial_1+z_2\partial_2}\cdot\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\ ;\ \mathcal{R}^-_{12}\cdot z_2 = z_2\cdot\mathcal{R}^-_{12} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\cdot\left(\mathrm{S}^-_1\cdot q^{\pm(v_--z_2\partial_2)}+\mathrm{S}^-_2\cdot q^{\pm(u_++z_1\partial_1)}\right) = \left(\mathrm{S}^-_1\cdot q^{\pm(u_--z_2\partial_2)}+\mathrm{S}^-_2\cdot q^{\pm(u_++z_1\partial_1)}\right)\cdot\mathcal{R}^-_{12} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\cdot\Big(z_1\cdot[z_1\partial_1+u_+-u_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(v_++z_2\partial_2)}+z_2\cdot[z_2\partial_2+v_+-v_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(u_--z_1\partial_1)}\Big) =\\ = \Big(z_1\cdot[z_1\partial_1+u_+-v_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(v_++z_2\partial_2)}+z_2\cdot[z_2\partial_2+v_+-u_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(v_--z_1\partial_1)}\Big)\cdot\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

These conditions fix the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ up to overall normalization constant.Fixing the normalization in a such way that $\mathcal{R}^-_{12} : 1 \mapsto 1$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}_{12}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_1^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}_1\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}_1\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}_{12}\right) \tag{2.13}$$

where we use the operators forming a Weyl pair

$$\mathbf{u}_{12}\equiv\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left[1-q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right]\ ,\ \mathbf{v}_1\equiv q^{2z_1\partial_1}\ ;\ \mathbf{u}_{12}\cdot\mathbf{v}_1 = q^2\cdot\mathbf{v}_1\cdot\mathbf{u}_{12} \tag{2.14}$$

We use the standard q-exponential function $\mathbf{e}_q(x)$ [31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. The definition and useful formulae with the function $\mathbf{e}_q(x)$ are collected in Appendix.

In the second step we interchange u_+ with v_+ but the parameters u_- and v_- remain the same.The defining equation for the operator $\mathcal{R}^+$ is

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\cdot\mathrm{L}_1(u_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,v_-) = \mathrm{L}_1(v_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(u_+,v_-)\cdot\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\ ;\ \mathcal{R}^+_{12} = \mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,v_-).$$

In the case when $u_+ = v_+ = u$ there should be the similar degeneracy $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u|u,v_-) = \mathbb{1}$.

Proposition 3 *There exists the operator $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}$ which is the solution of the defining equations*

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\mathrm{L}_1(u_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,v_-) = \mathrm{L}_1(v_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(u_+,v_-)\mathcal{R}^+_{12} \tag{2.15}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12} = \mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,v_-)\ ;\ \mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,v_-) = \mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_++\lambda|v_++\lambda,v_-+\lambda)$$

The defining system (2.15) is equivalent to the system

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\cdot q^{z_1\partial_1+z_2\partial_2} = q^{z_1\partial_1+z_2\partial_2}\cdot\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\ ;\ \mathcal{R}^+_{12}\cdot z_1 = z_1\cdot\mathcal{R}^+_{12} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\cdot\left(\mathrm{S}^-_1\cdot q^{\pm(v_--z_2\partial_2)}+\mathrm{S}^-_2\cdot q^{\pm(u_++z_1\partial_1)}\right) = \left(\mathrm{S}^-_1\cdot q^{\pm(v_--z_2\partial_2)}+\mathrm{S}^-_2\cdot q^{\pm(v_++z_1\partial_1)}\right)\cdot\mathcal{R}^+_{12} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}\cdot\Big(z_1\cdot[z_1\partial_1+u_+-u_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(v_++z_2\partial_2)}+z_2\cdot[z_2\partial_2+v_+-v_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(u_--z_1\partial_1)}\Big)=$$
$$=\Big(z_1\cdot[z_1\partial_1+v_+-u_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(u_++z_2\partial_2)}+z_2\cdot[z_2\partial_2+u_+-v_-]_q\cdot q^{\pm(u_--z_1\partial_1)}\Big)\cdot\mathcal{R}^+_{12} \tag{2.18}$$

These conditions fix the operator $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}$ up to overall normalization constant.Fixing the normalization in a such way that $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}:1\mapsto 1$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,v_-)=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_+-2v_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--v_++2}\mathbf{u}_{21}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_2^{\frac{v_+-u_+}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}_2\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}_2\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2+v_--u_+}\mathbf{u}_{21}\right) \tag{2.19}$$

Note that the defining equations for the operator $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}$ can be obtained from the defining equations for the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ by simple change

$$z_1\leftrightarrow z_2\ ,\ u_-\leftrightarrow -v_+\ ,\ u_+\leftrightarrow -v_-$$

so that the pair of plus-equations transforms to the pair minus-equations and vice versa. The second Weyl pair is

$$\mathbf{u}_{21}\equiv\frac{z_1}{z_2}\left[1-q^{-2z_2\partial_2}\right]\ ,\ \mathbf{v}_2\equiv q^{2z_2\partial_2}\ ;\ \mathbf{u}_{21}\cdot\mathbf{v}_2=q^2\cdot\mathbf{v}_2\cdot\mathbf{u}_{21} \tag{2.20}$$

Proposition 4 *The operator $\check{\mathbb{R}}$ can be factorized in the following way*

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_+,v_-)=\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,u_-)\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-)=\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(v_+,u_-|v_-)\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,v_-) \tag{2.21}$$

The factorization of the $\check{\mathbb{R}}$-operator can be proven using simple pictures. The operator $\check{\mathbb{R}}$ interchanges all parameters in the product of two L-operators. The operator $\mathcal{R}_-$ interchanges the parameters u_- and v_- only and the operator $\mathcal{R}_+$ interchanges the parameters u_+ and v_+. Using the operator $\mathcal{R}_+\mathcal{R}_-$ it is possible to interchange parameters u_+,v_+ and u_-,v_- in two steps so that we obtain the first equality in (2.21) as the condition of commutativity for the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{L}_1(u_+,v_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,u_-) \arrow[dr, "\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|v_+,u_-)"] & \\
\mathrm{L}_1(u_+,u_-)\mathrm{L}_2(v_+,v_-) \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-)"] \arrow[rr, "\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_+,v_-)"'] & & \mathrm{L}_1(v_+,v_-)\mathrm{L}_2(u_+,u_-)
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is possible to exchange the parameters in the opposite order and derive in a such way the second equality in (2.21).

By definition $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ acts on the list of parameters involved its defining RLL relation (2.9) as

$$(u_+,u_-,v_+,v_-)\to(u_+,v_-,v_+,u_-) \tag{2.22}$$

which is equivalent to the following change in terms of original spectral and representation parameters,

$$[u,\ell_1,v,\ell_2]\to[u-\xi,\ell_1+\xi,v+\xi,\ell_2-\xi],\quad \xi=\frac{u_--v_-}{2}. \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand, as it was discussed in the proof above, this defining relation allows for an extra shift of v_+ by μ: $v_+\to v_++\mu$ beyond the global shift of $u_\pm,v_\pm$. The μ-translation symmetry is equivalent to the commutativity $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}z_2=z_2\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$. Choosing now the particular shift $\mu=u_+-v_+$, the parameter changing action (2.22) becomes instead

$$(u_+,u_-,u_+,v_-)\to(u_+,v_-,u_+,u_-) \tag{2.24}$$

The RLL relation with these parameters in the Lax matrices is just the one for the full $\check{\mathbb{R}}$ operator (2.8) and this confirms just that

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}(u_+, u_-|v_-) = \check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+, u_-, u_+, v_-) \tag{2.25}$$

and shows also that the known eigenvalue relations for $\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}$ imply the eigenvalue relations for $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$. The former are [27]

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{\mathbb{R}}_{\ell_1,\ell_2}(u-v) \;\; \varphi_n\left(z_1, z_2; \ell_1 + \frac{u-v}{2}, \ell_2 + \frac{u-v}{2}\right) = \\ &= \rho(n; u-v, \ell_1, \ell_2) \;\; \varphi_n\left(z_1, z_2; \ell_2 - \frac{u-v}{2}, \ell_1 - \frac{u-v}{2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

with the eigenfunctions

$$\begin{gathered} \varphi_n(z_1, z_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(q^{\ell_1+k-1}\; z_1 - q^{-\ell_2-k+1}\; z_2\right) = (-z_2)^n q^{-n\ell_2 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \cdot \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\ell_1+\ell_2\right) \\ \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\ell_1+\ell_2\right) \equiv \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\ell_1+\ell_2}; q^2\right)_n = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\ell_1+\ell_2+2n}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\ell_1+\ell_2}\right)} \end{gathered} \tag{2.27}$$

and the eigenvalues

$$\rho(n; u-v, \ell_1, \ell_2) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[u-v+\ell_1+\ell_2+k-1]_q}{[v-u+\ell_1+\ell_2+k-1]_q}. \tag{2.28}$$

Let us rewrite these eigenvalue relations in terms of parameters $u_\pm$ and $v_\pm$

$$\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}(u_+, u_-, v_+, v_-)(-z_2)^n \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|u_+ - v_-\right) = q^{n(u_- - v_-)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[u_+ - v_- + k - 1]_q}{[v_+ - u_- + k - 1]_q} \cdot (-z_2)^n \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|v_+ - u_-\right)$$

Next we put $v_+ = u_+$ and use the commutativity $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} z_2 = z_2 \mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$ to cancel the factor $(-z_2)^n$.

Proposition 5 *The following generalized eigenvalue relations hold with the Yang-Baxter factor* $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}(u_+, u_-|v_-)\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|u_+ - v_-\right) = q^{n(u_- - v_-)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{[u_+ - v_- + k - 1]_q}{[u_+ - u_- + k - 1]_q} \cdot \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|u_+ - u_-\right) \tag{2.29}$$

Now we are going to the proof of the Proposition 2. The defining system of equations (2.9) for the $\mathcal{R}^{-}$-operator can be reduced to the simpler system of equations (2.10)-(2.12) similar the reduction for the $\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}$-operator. Let us make the shift $u_+ \to u_+ + \lambda$, $u_- \to u_- + \lambda$, $v_+ \to v_+ + \lambda + \mu$, $v_- \to v_- + \lambda$ in the defining equation (2.9).

There is one difference to the derivation in the case of operator $\check{\mathbb{R}}_{12}$: the shift of parameter v_+ is independent and due to the arbitrariness of μ we obtain the additional condition of commutativity $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} \cdot z_2 = z_2 \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$. It remains to solve the defining system of plus-equations (2.10)-(2.12) and derive the explicit expression for the operator $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$. Using the commutation relations $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} z_2 = z_2 \mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} q^{z_1\partial_1 + z_2\partial_2} = q^{z_1\partial_1 + z_2\partial_2} \mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$ it is possible to transform these two equations to the form

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} \cdot \left(1 - q^{v_- - u_+}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left[1 - q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right]\right) \cdot q^{2z_1\partial_1} = \left(1 - q^{u_- - u_+}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left[1 - q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right]\right) \cdot q^{2z_1\partial_1} \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} \tag{2.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} \cdot \left(z_1\left[q^{u_+ - u_-} - q^{u_- - u_+ - 2z_1\partial_1}\right] + z_2 q^{u_- - v_- - 2z_1\partial_1}\right) = \\ &= \left(z_1\left[q^{u_+ - v_-} - q^{v_- - u_+ - 2z_1\partial_1}\right] + z_2 q^{v_- - u_- - 2z_1\partial_1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Let us begin with the first equation and rewrite it in the compact form

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\cdot\left(1-q^{v_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}=\left(1-q^{u_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$$

We use notation (2.14) suppressing the subscripts at $\mathbf{u}_{12}$, $\mathbf{v}_1$ during this proof. We substitute the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}=\mathbf{a}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathcal{R}\cdot\mathbf{b}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}\right).$$

Then the equation (2.30) has the form

$$\mathcal{R}\cdot\mathbf{b}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\left(1-q^{v_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{b}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)=\mathbf{a}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\left(1-q^{u_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathbf{a}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathcal{R}$$

Next we move the operator $\mathbf{v}$ to the right using commutation relation $\mathbf{uv}=q^2\mathbf{vu}$

$$\mathcal{R}\cdot\underline{\mathbf{b}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\left(1-q^{v_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathbf{b}\left(q^{-2}\mathbf{u}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{v}=\underline{\mathbf{a}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\left(1-q^{u_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\cdot\mathbf{a}\left(q^{-2}\mathbf{u}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathcal{R}$$

If we choose the functions $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{u})$ obeying the natural recurrence relations

$$\mathbf{a}^{-1}(\mathbf{u})\left(1-q^{u_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{a}(q^{-2}\mathbf{u})=1\Longleftrightarrow\mathbf{a}(q^2\mathbf{u})=(1-q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u})\cdot\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u})\tag{2.32}$$

$$\mathbf{b}^{-1}(\mathbf{u})\left(1-q^{v_--u_+}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{b}(q^{-2}\mathbf{u})=1\Longleftrightarrow\mathbf{b}(q^2\mathbf{u})=(1-q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u})\cdot\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{u})\tag{2.33}$$

then the equation for the operator $\mathcal{R}$ reduces to the simple commutativity condition $\mathcal{R}\cdot\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}\cdot\mathcal{R}$. The solutions of the recurrence relations are

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right)\ ;\ \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right)$$

The second equation (2.31) in terms of operator $\mathcal{R}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{R}\cdot\mathbf{b}^{-1}(\mathbf{u})\cdot\left(z_1\left[q^{u_+-u_-}-q^{u_--u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right]+z_2q^{u_--v_--2z_1\partial_1}\right)\cdot\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{u})=\\&=\mathbf{a}^{-1}(\mathbf{u})\cdot\left(z_1\left[q^{u_+-v_-}-q^{v_--u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right]+z_2q^{v_--u_--2z_1\partial_1}\right)\cdot\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u})\cdot\mathcal{R}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.34}$$

Both sides contain expression of the type

$$\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1-q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\right]\cdot\left(z_1\left[q^\beta-q^{-\beta-2z_1\partial_1}\right]+z_2q^{-\alpha-\beta-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1-q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\right]\tag{2.35}$$

which can be transformed to the much simpler form. We obtain the factorization

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1-q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\right]=\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right]\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[-q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right]$$

using the general formula (see Appendix)

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{U}+\mathbf{V}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{V}\right)\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{U}\right)\ ;\ \mathbf{UV}=q^2\cdot\mathbf{VU};\ \mathbf{U}=-q^{\alpha+2}\cdot\frac{z_2}{z_1}\cdot q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\ ;\ \mathbf{V}=q^{\alpha+2}\cdot\frac{z_2}{z_1}$$

and then it is possible to transform (2.35) in two steps. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right]\cdot\left(z_1\left[q^\beta-q^{-\beta-2z_1\partial_1}\right]+z_2q^{-\alpha-\beta-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right]=\\&=z_1\cdot q^\beta-q^{-\beta}\left(z_1-z_2\cdot q^{-\alpha}\right)\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right]\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\cdot q^2\right]\cdot q^{-2z_1\partial_1}=\\&=z_1\cdot q^\beta-q^{-\beta}\cdot\left(z_1-z_2\cdot q^{-\alpha}\right)\left(1-q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)\cdot q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\end{aligned}$$

and in the next step we transform in a similar way the rest part

$$\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left[-q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right]\left\{z_1\cdot q^\beta-q^{-\beta}\cdot\left(z_1-z_2\cdot q^{-\alpha}\right)\left(1-q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)\cdot q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right\}\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left[-q^{\alpha+2}\frac{z_2}{z_1}q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right]=$$

$$= z_1\left(q^{\beta} - q^{-\beta-2z_1\partial_1}\right) - q^{2-\beta}\frac{z_2^2}{z_1}\left(1 - q^{2\alpha+2-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\left(1 - q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right)q^{-2z_1\partial_1} +$$

$$+ z_2\left(q^{\alpha+\beta} - q^{-\alpha-\beta}\right)q^{-2z_1\partial_1} + z_2 q^{\alpha-\beta}\left(q^{-2-2z_1\partial_1} - q^{2-2z_1\partial_1} - q^{-4z_1\partial_1}\right)$$

After substitution of the particular expressions for the parameters α and β it appears that the expression in the last line is the same for the left and right hand sides of the equation (2.34). Due to the commutation relations $\left[\mathcal{R}, q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right] = [\mathcal{R}, z_2] = 0$ it is possible to cancel these terms and we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}\cdot\left\{z_1 q^{u_+-u_-}\left(1 - q^{2u_--2u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right) - q^{2+v_--u_+}\frac{z_2^2}{z_1}\left(1 - q^{2+2u_--2u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\left(1 - q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right)q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right\} =$$

$$= \left\{z_1 q^{u_+-v_-}\left(1 - q^{2v_--2u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right) - q^{2+u_--u_+}\frac{z_2^2}{z_1}\left(1 - q^{2+2v_--2u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\left(1 - q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right)q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right\}\cdot\mathcal{R}$$

Since z_2 commutes with $\mathcal{R}$ this condition implies two relations , which however turn out to be equivalent to

$$\mathcal{R}\cdot z_1 q^{u_+-u_-}\left(1 - q^{2u_--2u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right) = z_1 q^{u_+-v_-}\left(1 - q^{2v_--2u_+-2z_1\partial_1}\right)\cdot\mathcal{R}$$

The solution can be represented in the form $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{v})$ where $\mathbf{v} = q^{2z_1\partial_1}$ and we obtain the recurrence relation

$$\mathcal{R}(q^2\mathbf{v}) = q^{v_--u_-}\frac{1 - q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}}{1 - q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}}\cdot\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{v})$$

The solution is

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}$$

where we fix the initial condition as follows $\mathcal{R}(1) = 1$.

Collecting everything together one obtains the expression for the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ in the form (2.13).

In the above proof we have used the conditions (2.10)-(2.12) with the upper sign plus only. The corresponding conditions with the lower sign minus are obtained by the exchange $q \mapsto q^{-1}$. In the Appendix we proof that the result (2.13) can be written more compactly.

Proposition 6 *The above operator result (2.13) can be written compactly as*

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}_1 + q^{u_+-u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{12}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_1^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}_1 + q^{u_+-v_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{12}\right) = \tag{2.36}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_--2u_++2}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_--2u_++2}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_--2u_++2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1 + q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}_{12}\right)\cdot\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1^{\frac{u_--v_-}{2}}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_--2u_++2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1 + q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}_{12}\right) \tag{2.37}$$

Here $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{12}$ are obtained from $\mathbf{v}_1$, $\mathbf{u}_{12}$ (2.14) by replacing $q \mapsto q^{-1}$. These expressions show the invariance of $\mathcal{R}^-$ under $q \mapsto q^{-1}$ expected from the defining conditions

Using this compact operator representation it is instructive to rederive the result on eigenfunctions in Proposition 5 by calculating directly the action of $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ on functions of the above type (2.27).

The operator arguments of the functions $\mathbf{e}_{q^2}$ in (2.36) are

$$A(\beta) = q^{2\beta}\mathbf{v}_1 + q^{\beta}\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{12} = q^{2\beta+2z_1\partial_1} + q^{\beta}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1 - q^{2z_1\partial_1}\right) \tag{2.38}$$

with β substituted by $u_+ - v_-$ or $u_+ - u_-$. We have

$$\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right) \equiv \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\beta+2n}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\beta}\right)}\ ;\ \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\cdot q^2|\beta\right) = \frac{1 - \frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\beta+2n}}{1 - \frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\beta}}\cdot\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right)$$

$$A(\beta)\cdot\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right) = q^{2\beta}\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\cdot q^2|\beta\right) + q^\beta\frac{z_2}{z_1}\cdot\left[\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right) - \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\cdot q^2|\beta\right)\right] =$$

$$= \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right)\cdot\left\{q^{2\beta}\frac{1-\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\beta+2n}}{1-\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^\beta} + q^\beta\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left[1-\frac{1-\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^{\beta+2n}}{1-\frac{z_1}{z_2}q^\beta}\right]\right\} = q^{2\beta+2n}\cdot\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\cdot q^2|\beta\right)$$

Therefore we have the eigenvalue relation for all n,

$$A(\beta)\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right) = q^{2n+2\beta}\phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|\beta\right). \tag{2.39}$$

This implies for (2.36)

$$\mathcal{R}^- = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}^{-1}\left(A(u_+-u_-)\right)\quad q^{(u_--v_-)z_1\partial_1}\quad\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(A(u_+-v_-)\right) \tag{2.40}$$

the eigenvalue relation

$$\mathcal{R}^-\ \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|u_+-v_-\right) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2n+2(u_+-v_-)}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2n+2(u_+-u_-)}\right)}\ \ \phi_n\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}|u_+-u_-\right) \tag{2.41}$$

Finally it is easy to check the coincidence of the eigenvalues in (2.29) and (2.41).

The compact form of $\mathcal{R}^-$ given in Proposition 6 is the direct q-deformed analogon of the corresponding result obtained in [25] for the undeformed case. The analogy is also evident in the expressions for the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions [27]. The limit $q\to 1$, discussed shortly in the Appendix, is

$$\mathcal{R}^-\to\mathcal{R}^-_{XXX} = \frac{\Gamma(u_+-u_-)}{\Gamma(u_+-v_-)}\frac{\Gamma(z_{12}\partial_1+u_+-v_-)}{\Gamma(z_{12}\partial_1+u_+-u_-)}. \tag{2.42}$$

3 Q-operators for the generic periodic XXZ spin chain.

The next natural step it to use the general operator $\mathbb{R}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_+,v_-)$ as building block in construction of Baxter Q-operators. In the case of the generic inhomogeneous periodic XXZ spin chain the transfer matrix $\mathbf{t}(u)$ is constructed as follows

$$\mathbf{t}(u) = \operatorname{tr}\mathrm{L}_1(u+\delta_1)\cdot\mathrm{L}_1(u+\delta_2)\cdots\mathrm{L}_N(u+\delta_N)\ ;\ \mathrm{L}_k(u+\delta_k) = \begin{pmatrix}[u+\delta_k+\mathrm{S}_k]_q & \mathrm{S}_k^-\\ \mathrm{S}_k^+ & [u+\delta_k-\mathrm{S}_k]_q\end{pmatrix}.$$

The most general transfer matrix $\mathbf{Q}(u,\ell_0)$ is constructed in a similar manner from the Yang Baxter operators $\mathbb{R}_{k0}$

$$\mathbf{Q}(u,\ell_0) = \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{V}_0}\mathbb{R}_{10}(u+\delta_1)\mathbb{R}_{20}(u+\delta_2)\cdots\mathbb{R}_{N0}(u+\delta_N) \tag{3.1}$$

The operator $\mathbf{Q}(u,\ell_0)$ depends on two parameters: the spectral parameter u and the spin in the auxiliary space $\mathrm{V}_0=\mathrm{V}_{\ell_0}$. It is useful to change to other parameters $u_1 = 1+u-\ell_0$ and $u_2 = u+\ell_0$ such that $\mathbf{Q}(u,\ell_0)=\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)$.The explicit expression for the operator $\mathbb{R}_{k0}$ is

$$\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u+\delta_k) = \mathbb{P}_{k0}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{-2u-2\delta_k+2\ell_k+2\ell_0}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u+2\delta_k+2\ell_k+2\ell_0}\right)}\cdot$$

$$\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2+u+\delta_k-\ell_k-\ell_0}\mathbf{u}_{0k}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_0^{\frac{\ell_0-u-\delta_k-\ell_k}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell_k}\mathbf{v}_0\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2\ell_0+2\ell_k-2u-2\delta_k}\mathbf{v}_0\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}^{-1}\left(q^{2-2\ell_k}\mathbf{u}_{0k}\right)\cdot$$

$$\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2\ell_k}\mathbf{u}_{k0}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_k^{\frac{\ell_k-\ell_0-u-\delta_k}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u+2\delta_k+2\ell_k+2\ell_0}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell_k}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}^{-1}\left(q^{2-u-\delta_k-\ell_k-\ell_0}\mathbf{u}_{k0}\right)$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_{0k}\equiv\frac{z_k}{z_0}\left[1-q^{-2z_0\partial_0}\right]\ ,\ \mathbf{v}_0\equiv q^{2z_0\partial_0}\ ;\ \mathbf{u}_{k0}\equiv\frac{z_0}{z_k}\left[1-q^{-2z_k\partial_k}\right]\ ,\ \mathbf{v}_k\equiv q^{2z_k\partial_k}.$$

It is natural to simplify the notations: we omit the local parameters ℓ_k, δ_k in the chain and display the global parameters $u_1 = 1 + u - \ell_0$ and $u_2 = u + \ell_0$ only

$$\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u_1|u_2) = \mathbb{P}_{k0} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2u_1-2\delta_k+2\ell_k}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_2+2\delta_k+2\ell_k}\right)} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{1+u_1+\delta_k-\ell_k}\mathbf{u}_{0k}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_0^{\frac{1-u_1-\delta_k-\ell_k}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell_k}\mathbf{v}_0\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2+2\ell_k-2u_1-2\delta_k}\mathbf{v}_0\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}^{-1}\left(q^{2-2\ell_k}\mathbf{u}_{0k}\right) \cdot$$

$$\cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2\ell_k}\mathbf{u}_{k0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_k^{\frac{\ell_k-u_2-\delta_k}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_2+2\delta_k+2\ell_k}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell_k}\mathbf{v}_k\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}^{-1}\left(q^{2-u_2-\delta_k-\ell_k}\mathbf{u}_{k0}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

In our context we define the Baxter operators $\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)$ as operators acting in $\otimes_{k=1}^{N} V_{\ell_k}$ with the basic properties

- commutativity

$$\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(v_1|v_2) = \mathbf{Q}(v_1|v_2) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)\ ;\ \mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2) \cdot \mathbf{t}(v) = \mathbf{t}(v) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2) \tag{3.3}$$

- Baxter relation with respect to u_2

$$\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u) \cdot \mathbf{t}(u) = \Delta_+(u)\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u+1) + \Delta_-(u)\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u-1)\ ;\ \Delta_\pm(u) = [u+\delta_1 \pm \ell_1]_q \cdots [u+\delta_N \pm \ell_N]_q \tag{3.4}$$

- Baxter relation with respect to u_1

$$\mathbf{t}(u) \cdot \mathbf{Q}(u|u_2) = \frac{\Delta_+(u-1)\Delta_-(u)}{\Delta_-(u-1)}\mathbf{Q}(u-1|u_2) + \Delta_-(u)\mathbf{Q}(u+1|u_2) \tag{3.5}$$

These properties allow to consider the operators $\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)$ as two-parametric Baxter Q-operators [4, 14].

Theorem *The generalized transfer matrices $\mathbf{Q}(u;\ell_0)$ (3.1) of the generic periodic XXZ chain are Baxter operators $\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)$ in the sense of the above definition with $u_1 = 1 + u - \ell_0$ and $u_2 = u + \ell_0$.*

The commutativity $[\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2), \mathbf{Q}(v_1|v_2)] = 0$ follows from the Yang-Baxter equation for the general R-matrix

$$\mathbb{R}_{00'}(u-v)\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u)\mathbb{R}_{k0'}(v) = \mathbb{R}_{k0'}(u)\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u)\mathbb{R}_{00'}(u-v)$$

where $\mathrm{V}_0 = \mathrm{V}_{\ell_0}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0'} = \mathrm{V}_{\ell_{0'}}$ are two auxiliary spaces and $\mathrm{V}_k = \mathrm{V}_{\ell_k}$ is the k-th quantum space.

The commutativity $[\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2), \mathbf{t}(v)] = 0$ follows from the special case of the general Yang-Baxter relation

$$\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u-v)\mathrm{L}_k(u)\mathrm{L}_0(v) = \mathrm{L}_0(v)\mathrm{L}_k(u)\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u-v).$$

All these formulae are standard and well known. The really nontrivial part of the proof is the derivation of the Baxter relations. It is the consequence of the important properties of the $\mathcal{R}$-operators - the following triangularity relations.

Proposition 7 *The following triangularity relations hold for the operators $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+, u_-|0)$ and $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|1, u_-)$*

$$\mathbf{M}_1^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+, u_-|0) \cdot \mathrm{L}_1(u_+, u_-) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2 = \tag{3.6}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} [u_+]_q \cdot \mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+ + 1, u_- + 1|0) & -\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+, u_-|0)\,\frac{1}{z_1}[z_1\partial_1]_q \\ 0 & [u_-]_q \cdot \mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+ - 1, u_- - 1|0) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{M}_1^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{L}_2(u_+, u_-) \cdot \mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|1, u_-) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2 = \tag{3.7}$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{[u_-]_q[u_+-1]_q}{[u_- -1]_q} \cdot \mathcal{R}^+_{12}\left(u_+ - 1|1, u_- - 1\right) & -\frac{1}{z_1}[z_1\partial_1]_q \mathcal{R}^+_{12}\left(u_+|1, u_-\right) \\ 0 & [u_-]_q \cdot \mathcal{R}^+_{12}\left(u_+ + 1|1, u_- + 1\right) \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}(u_+, u_-|0) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_- - u_+ + 2}\mathbf{u}_{12}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_1^{-\frac{u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+}\mathbf{v}_1\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}_1\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-u_+}\mathbf{u}_{12}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{12}(u_+|1, u_-) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_-+1}\mathbf{u}_{21}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_2^{\frac{1-u_+}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}_2\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2u_-}\mathbf{v}_2\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2+u_- - u_+}\mathbf{u}_{21}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_k \equiv \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ z_k & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

We prove the triangularity relation for the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ and the proof for the operator $\mathcal{R}^+_{12}$ is very similar. We start directly from the defining equation (2.9). Using factorization (2.2) of the Lax operator and commutativity of $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ and z_2 the defining equation for the $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$-operator can be represented in the form

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\mathrm{L}_1(u_+, u_-) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ z_2 \cdot q^{-v_-} & z_2 \cdot q^{v_-} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{z_2\partial_2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-z_2\partial_2} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ z_1 \cdot q^{-v_-} & z_1 \cdot q^{v_-} \end{array} \right) \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{z_1\partial_1} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-z_1\partial_1} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{u_+} & -\frac{q}{z_1} \\ -q^{-u_+} & \frac{1}{qz_1} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ z_2 \cdot q^{-u_-} & z_2 \cdot q^{u_-} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{z_2\partial_2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-z_2\partial_2} \end{array} \right) \mathcal{R}^-_{12}$$

Next we transform all this in the following simple way

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -z_1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mathcal{R}^-_{12}\mathrm{L}_1(u_+, u_-) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ z_2 & 1 \end{array} \right) =$$

$$= \left(q^{v_-} - q^{-v_-}\right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ z_1 \cdot (q^{-v_-} - 1) & z_1 \cdot (q^{v_-} - 1) \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{z_1\partial_1} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-z_1\partial_1} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{u_+} & -\frac{q}{z_1} \\ -q^{-u_+} & \frac{1}{qz_1} \end{array} \right) \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ z_2 \cdot q^{-u_-} & z_2 \cdot q^{u_-} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{z_2\partial_2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-z_2\partial_2} \end{array} \right) \cdot \mathcal{R}^-_{12} \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{-z_2\partial_2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{z_2\partial_2} \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} q^{-v_-} - 1 & -\frac{1}{z_1} \\ 1 - q^{-v_-} & \frac{1}{z_2} \end{array} \right)$$

It is evident from this expression that matrix element in the second row and first column is $\sim (1 - q^{v_-})$ so that in the limit $v_- = 0$ we obtain the upper triangular matrix. To be sure, the explicit calculations leads to the following expression for this matrix element $\mathbf{A}_{21}$

$$\mathbf{A}_{21} = (1 - q^{v_-}) \cdot \left[z_1\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\left(q^{u_+ - v_- + z_1\partial_1} + q^{u_+ - v_- - z_1\partial_1}\right) - z_2\mathcal{R}^-_{12}\left(q^{-u_- + z_1\partial_1} + q^{u_- - v_- - z_1\partial_1}\right)\right]$$

and indeed we obtain zero at the point $v_- = 0$. The explicit calculation of the matrix elements $\mathbf{A}_{11}, \mathbf{A}_{12}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{22}$ at the point $v_- = 0$ gives the expression (3.6). From the triangularity relations for the $\mathcal{R}$-operators immediately follow two triangularity relations for the general operator $\mathbb{R}_{12}$.

Proposition 8 *The following triangularity relations for the operator* $\mathbb{R}_{12}\left(u_+, u_-|v_+, v_-\right)$ *hold*

$$\mathbf{M}_2^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{R}_{12}\left(u_+, u_-|v_+, 0\right) \cdot \mathrm{L}_1\left(u_+, u_-\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2 = \tag{3.8}$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{cc} [u_+]_q \cdot \mathcal{R}_{12}\left(u_+ + 1, u_- + 1|v_+ + 1, 0\right) & *** \\ 0 & [u_-]_q \cdot \mathbb{R}_{12}\left(u_+ - 1, u_- - 1|v_+ - 1, 0\right) \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_2^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{L}_1\left(u_+, u_-\right) \cdot \mathbb{R}_{12}\left(u_+, u_-|1, v_-\right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2 = \tag{3.9}$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{[u_-]_q[u_+-1]_q}{[u_- -1]_q} \cdot \mathbb{R}_{12}\left(u_+ - 1, u_- - 1|1, v_- - 1\right) & *** \\ 0 & u_- \cdot \mathbb{R}_{12}\left(u_+ + 1, u_- + 1|1, v_- + 1\right) \end{array} \right)$$

The relation (3.8) is obtained from the relation (3.6) simply by multiplying with the operator $\mathbb{P}_{12}\mathcal{R}^{+}_{12}(u_+|v_+, u_-)$ from the left and using the expression (2.21) for the operator $\mathbb{R}_{12}$. The operator $\mathcal{R}^+$ plays a passive role in this first relation. The relation (3.9) is obtained from the relation (3.7) simply by multiplying with the operator $\mathbb{P}_{12}$ from the left and with the operator $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}(u_+, u_-|v_-)$ from the right and using the expression (2.21) for the operator $\mathbb{R}_{12}$. Now the operator $\mathcal{R}^-$ plays a passive role.

Let us go to the proof of the Baxter relation

$$\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u)\cdot\mathbf{t}(u) = \Delta_+(u)\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u+1) + \Delta_-(u)\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u-1)\ ;\ \Delta_\pm(u) = [u+\delta_1 \pm \ell_1]_q \cdots [u+\delta_N \pm \ell_N]_q$$

It is the direct consequence of the triangularity relation (3.8) and cyclicity of the trace. Let us choose the first space in (3.8) as k-th quantum space and the second space as the auxiliary space. We have in useful notations

$$\mathbf{M}_0^{-1}\cdot\mathbb{R}_{k0}\left(u_1 - v|u\right)\cdot\mathrm{L}_k\left(u+\delta_k\right)\cdot\mathbf{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} [u_k^+]_q \cdot \mathbb{R}_{k0}\left(u_1 - v|u+1\right) & *\,*\,* \\ 0 & [u_k^-]_q \cdot \mathbb{R}_{k0}\left(u_1 - v|u-1\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying these equalities for $k = 1, 2, \cdots N$, taking the traces in auxiliary spaces $\mathbb{C}^2$ and V_0 and using the cyclicity of the trace one obtains the equation

$$\mathbf{Q}(u_1 - v|u)\cdot\mathbf{t}(u) = \Delta_+(u)\mathbf{Q}(u_1 - v|u+1) + \Delta_-(u)\mathbf{Q}(u_1 - v|u-1)$$

The parameter u_1 is arbitrary so that we obtain the needed relation. The Baxter relation with respect to parameter u_2 follows from the triangularity relation (3.9) and the derivation is very similar.

4 Q-operators for the homogeneous periodic XXZ spin chain

The operator $\mathbb{R}_{k0}(u_1|u_2)$ (3.2) has two points of degeneracy: $u_1 = 1 - \delta_k - \ell_k$ and $u_2 = \ell_k - \delta_k$. In the case of homogeneous spin chain: $\delta_k = 0$ and $\ell_k = \ell$, the degeneration points for all operators $\mathbb{R}_{k0}$ coincide so that it is possible to remove half of the $\mathcal{R}$-operators in the two-parametric operator

$$\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{V}_0}\, \mathbb{R}_{10}(u_1|u_2)\mathbb{R}_{20}(u_1|u_2)\cdots\mathbb{R}_{N0}(u_1|u_2)$$

We obtain the following reductions of the two-parametric Q-operator: at the first point of degeneracy $u_1 = 1 - \ell$

$$\mathbf{Q}_-(u) = \mathbf{Q}(1-\ell|u) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{V}_0}\, \mathbb{P}_{10}\mathcal{R}^-_{10}(u_+, u_-|0)\cdot\mathbb{P}_{20}\mathcal{R}^-_{20}(u_+, u_-|0)\cdots\mathbb{P}_{N0}\mathcal{R}^-_{N0}(u_+, u_-|0)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{k0}(u_+, u_-|0) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u+2\ell}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2\ell}\mathbf{u}_{k0}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_k^{\frac{\ell-u}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u+2\ell}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-u-\ell}\mathbf{u}_{k0}\right)$$

and at the second point of degeneracy $u_2 = \ell$

$$\mathbf{Q}_+(u) = \mathbf{Q}(u|\ell) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{V}_0}\, \mathbb{P}_{10}\mathcal{R}^+_{10}(u_+|1, u_-)\cdot\mathbb{P}_{20}\mathcal{R}^+_{20}(u_+|1, u_-)\cdots\mathbb{P}_{N0}\mathcal{R}^+_{N0}(u_+|1, u_-)$$

$$\mathcal{R}^+_{k0}(u_+|1, u_-) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2+2\ell-2u}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u+1-\ell}\mathbf{u}_{0k}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_2^{\frac{1-\ell-u}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell}\mathbf{v}_0\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2+2\ell-2u}\mathbf{v}_0\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2\ell}\mathbf{u}_{0k}\right)$$

As the direct consequence of the equations for the general two-parametric operator $\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)$ we immediately derive the following properties of the operators $\mathbf{Q}_+(u)$ and $\mathbf{Q}_-(u)$

Proposition 9 *For the homogeneous periodic XXZ chain the reductions* $\mathbf{Q}_+(u)$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}_-(u)$ *arising from the Baxter operators* $\mathbf{Q}(u_1|u_2)$ *at the points of degeneracy* $(u_1|u_2) = (1-\ell|u)$ *and* $(u_1|u_2) = (u|\ell)$ *obey the following relations:*

- *commutativity*

$$\mathbf{Q}_\pm(u)\cdot\mathbf{Q}_\pm(v) = \mathbf{Q}_\pm(v)\cdot\mathbf{Q}_\pm(u)\ ;\ \mathbf{Q}_+(u)\cdot\mathbf{Q}_-(v) = \mathbf{Q}_-(v)\cdot\mathbf{Q}_+(u)\ ;\ \mathbf{Q}_\pm(u)\cdot\mathbf{t}(v) = \mathbf{t}(v)\cdot\mathbf{Q}_\pm(u) \tag{4.1}$$

- *Baxter relation for the* $\mathbf{Q}_-(u)$

$$\mathbf{Q}_-(u)\cdot\mathbf{t}(u)=\Delta_+(u)\mathbf{Q}_-(u+1)+\Delta_-(u)\mathbf{Q}_-(u-1)\ ;\ \Delta_\pm(u)=[u\pm\ell]_q^N \tag{4.2}$$

- *Baxter relation for the* $\mathbf{Q}_+(u)$

$$\mathbf{t}(u)\cdot\mathbf{Q}_+(u)=\frac{\Delta_+(u-1)\Delta_-(u)}{\Delta_-(u-1)}\mathbf{Q}_+(u-1)+\Delta_-(u)\mathbf{Q}_+(u+1). \tag{4.3}$$

We construct the $\mathbf{Q}_\pm$-operator as the trace of the products of $\mathcal{R}^\pm$ operators in auxiliary space V_0. The whole construction is pure algebraic. In this Section we shall derive the explicit formulae for the action of the operator $\mathbf{Q}_-$ in the space of polynomials. The explicit expression for the second operator $\mathbf{Q}_+$ is more complicated and we shall not consider it here.

Proposition 10 *The action of the operator* $\mathbf{Q}_-(u)$ *on a polynomial* $\Psi(z_1\cdots z_N)$ *can be represented in the following form*

$$\left[\mathbf{Q}_-(u)\Psi\right](z_1,\cdots z_N)=\mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\Psi(z_0,z_1,\cdots z_{N-1})\big|_{z_0=z_N} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{k,k+1}=\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u+2\ell}\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2-2\ell}\mathbf{u}_{k,k+1}\right)\cdot\mathbf{v}_k^{\frac{\ell-u}{2}}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u+2\ell}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{4\ell}\mathbf{v}_k\right)}\cdot\mathbf{e}_{q^2}^{-1}\left(q^{2-u-\ell}\mathbf{u}_{k,k+1}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k=q^{2z_k\partial_k}\ ,\ \mathbf{u}_{k,k+1}=\frac{z_{k+1}}{z_k}\left[1-q^{-2z_k\partial_k}\right]$$

Let z_0 be the variable in the auxiliary space V_0 and let the operator $\mathbb{A}$ act in the tensor product $\mathrm{V}_0\otimes\mathrm{V}_1\cdots\otimes\mathrm{V}_N$ and $\Psi(z_1\cdots z_N)\in\mathrm{V}_1\cdots\otimes\mathrm{V}_N$. The trace of the operator $\mathbb{A}$ in auxiliary space $\mathrm{V}_0=\mathbb{C}[z_0]$ can be calculated as follows

$$\left[\left(\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{V}_0}\,\mathbb{A}\right)\Psi\right](z_1\cdots z_N)=\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\partial_0^m\mathbb{A}\cdot z_0^m\cdot\Psi(z_1\cdots z_N)\Big|_{z_0=0}.$$

In order to prove (4.4) it is useful to move all permutations to the right

$$\mathbb{P}_{10}\mathcal{R}_{10}\mathbb{P}_{20}\mathcal{R}_{20}\cdots\mathbb{P}_{N0}\mathcal{R}_{N0}=\mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\cdot\mathbb{P}_{10}\mathbb{P}_{20}\cdots\mathbb{P}_{N0}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\cdot\mathbb{P}_{10}\mathbb{P}_{20}\cdots\mathbb{P}_{N0}\cdot z_0^m\cdot\Psi(z_1\cdots z_N)=$$

$$=\mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\cdot z_N^m\cdot\Psi(z_0,z_1\cdots z_{N-1})=z_N^m\mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\Psi(z_0,z_1\cdots z_{N-1}).$$

The result of the operation $\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\partial_0^m$ can be calculated in closed form

$$\left[\mathbf{Q}_-(u)\Psi\right](z_1\cdots z_N)=\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\partial_0^m z_N^m\ \mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\Psi(z_0,z_1\cdots z_{N-1})\big|_{z_0=0}=$$

$$=\mathrm{e}^{z_N\partial_0}\ \mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\Psi(z_0,z_1\cdots z_{N-1})\big|_{z_0=0}=\mathcal{R}_{01}\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{23}\cdots\mathcal{R}_{N-1,N}\Psi(z_0,z_1\cdots z_{N-1})\big|_{z_0=z_N}$$

and we obtain the representation (4.4).

5 Discussion

We have presented a factorization of the R operator acting on generic representations of the q-deformed $sl(2)$ algebra in close analogy to the undeformed case studied earlier.

Starting from the Lax matrix with the q-deformed generators represented as functions of the Heisenberg pair of operators z, ∂, the factors $\mathcal{R}^{\pm}$ have been derived in terms of functions of these basic operators. The defining RLL relation for the factors can be treated easier compared to the ones for the full operators $\mathbb{R}$.

The basis of eigenfunctions of the R matrix factors $\mathcal{R}^{\pm}$ has been derived both by relating the eigenvalue problems to the one of the full operator $\mathbb{R}$ and by direct calculations.

The central results concern the construction of Baxter operators Q as generalized transfer matrices. The latter are defined by the generic $\mathbb{R}$ operators replacing the Lax matrices. The explicit form of the operators $\mathbb{R}$ in terms of their factors derived here allows for explicit representations of the Baxter operators and the proof of the Baxter relations. Triangularity properties based on the factorization of the Lax matrix separating contributions involving the operators z and ∂ from each other turn out to be the origin of the Baxter relations.

The results concern the case of periodic chains of XXZ type. We do not expect difficulties in extensions to open chains.

The special case of homogeneous periodic chains is of particular interest. Here among the Baxter operators the particular one where the representation parameter of the auxiliary and the quantum spaces coincide ($\ell_0 = \ell$) is distinguished; the nearest-neighbour interaction hamiltonian can be constructed using this Q-operator.

Here other distinguished parameter values for Baxter operators have been discussed, where the expressions as generalized transfer matrices reduce to traces of the factor operators $\mathcal{R}^{\pm}$. The commutativity properties of the resulting Baxter operators $Q_{\pm}$ have been given. We have presented the explicit operator expression for Q_- and its action on polynomial functions representing the quantum states of the chain.

Acknowledgments

S.D. would like to thank A. Bytsko for the stimulating discussions and critical remarks. The work of S.D. has been supported by the grant 03-01-00837 of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research. D.K. has been supported in part by Volkswagen Stiftung and by INTAS grant 03-51-5460. We are grateful to Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for supporting our collaboration by a travel grant. Two of us (S.D. and D.K.) are grateful to Leipzig University and its Center of Theoretical Science for kind hospitality.

6 Appendix

6.1 The q-exponential function $\mathbf{e}_q(x)$

In this Appendix we collect some useful formulae [31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. The standard q-products are

$$(x;q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{+\infty}(1 - q^k \cdot x) \ ; \ (x;q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1 - q^k \cdot x) = \frac{(x;q)_\infty}{(xq^n;q)_\infty} \ ; \ q \in \mathbb{C} \ , \ |q| < 1$$

The q-exponential function $\mathbf{e}_q(x)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathbf{e}_q(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(x;q)_\infty} \ ; \ \mathbf{e}_q(qx) = (1 - x) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(x).$$

The q-binomial formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a;q)_n}{(q;q)_n} \cdot z^n = \frac{(az;q)_\infty}{(z;q)_\infty} \ ; \ |z| < 1$$

allows to derive two expansion formulas

$$\mathbf{e}_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^n}{(q;q)_n} \ ; \ \mathbf{e}_q^{-1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} x^n}{(q;q)_n}$$

It is clear from these formulae that we can define a unique extension of the function $\mathbf{e}_q(x)$

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^{-1}}(x) = \mathbf{e}_q^{-1}(qx)$$

so that the recurrence relation $\mathbf{e}_q(qx) = (1-x) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(x)$ still holds in large domain $|q| \neq 1$.

There are important formulae involving the Weyl pair $\mathbf{uv} = q \cdot \mathbf{vu}$ [32]

$$\mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v})$$

$$\mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{vu}) = \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(-\mathbf{vu}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}) =$$
$$= \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{vu}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_q(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{vu})$$

6.2 Different representations for the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$

Let us introduce two Weyl pairs of operators which are connected by the change $q \mapsto q^{-1}$

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv \frac{z_2}{z_1}\left[1 - q^{-2z_1\partial_1}\right] \ , \ \mathbf{v} \equiv q^{2z_1\partial_1} \ ; \ \mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{v} = q^2 \cdot \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}} \equiv \frac{z_2}{z_1}\left[1 - q^{2z_1\partial_1}\right] \ , \ \bar{\mathbf{v}} \equiv q^{-2z_1\partial_1} \ ; \ \bar{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\bar{\mathbf{v}} = q^{-2} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}$$

There is the first pair of equivalent expressions for the operator $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$

$$\mathcal{R}^-_{12} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) =$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v} + q^{u_+-u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v} + q^{u_+-v_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right) \tag{6.1}$$

The first expression is the one obtained in the above proof of (2.13). To derive the second expression from the first one we transform the product $\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)$ using the general formula

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}\right) = \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{vu}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right) \ ; \ \mathbf{uu} = q^2\mathbf{vu}$$

in the equivalent form

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}\right) = \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{vu}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)$$

It is clear that operators $\lambda_1 \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and $\lambda_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}$ also form Weyl pair so that these general formulae are applicable. Using this formula and equality $-q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v} \cdot q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u} = q^{u_+-u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ we derive

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right) = \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\mathbf{v} + q^{u_+-u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right)$$

The second product $\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right)$ in the initial expression for $\mathcal{R}^-_{12}$ is obtained from the first one by inverse and change $u_- \mapsto v_-$ so that we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) = \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\mathbf{v} + q^{u_+-v_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right)$$

Finally two terms cancel each other

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_--u_++2}\mathbf{u}\right) = \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_--u_-}{2}}$$

and we derive the second relation. There is the second pair of equivalent expressions for the operator $\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}$

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-) = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_+ -u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{\frac{u_- -v_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_+ -v_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right) = \tag{6.2}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}} + q^{u_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{\frac{u_- -v_-}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}} + q^{v_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right) \tag{6.3}$$

The equation (6.3) is derived from the equation (6.2) in the similar way as it was done for the first pair. To derive the equation (6.2) we start from expression

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12}(u_+,u_-|v_-) \sim \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right)$$

and transform it using the relation

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}\right) = \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(-\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\ ;\ \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v} = q^2\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}$$

in equivalent form

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}\right) = \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(-\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{v}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(\mathbf{u}\right)$$

Using $-q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v} \cdot q^{u_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u} = q^{u_+ -u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}$ we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right) = \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_+ -u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right)\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right)$$

and by inverse and change $u_- \mapsto v_-$ we derive

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right) = \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_+ -v_- +2}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right)$$

Again two term cancel each other

$$\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{v_- -u_+ +2}\mathbf{u}\right) = \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}}$$

and one obtains the expression

$$\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_+ -u_-}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right)\underline{\mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)\mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}}\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{u_+ -v_- +2}\bar{\mathbf{u}}\right).$$

On the last step we transform the marked expression to the form

$$\mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\right)} = \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\right)} \tag{6.4}$$

and derive the expression (6.2). The equation (6.4) is obtained as follows. Both sides of this equation are solutions of the recurrence relation from the above proof of (2.13)

$$\mathcal{R} \cdot z_1 q^{u_+ -u_-}\left(1 - q^{2u_- -2u_+ -2z_1\partial_1}\right) = z_1 q^{u_+ -v_-}\left(1 - q^{2v_- -2u_+ -2z_1\partial_1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{R}$$

$$\mathcal{R}(q^2\mathbf{v}) = q^{v_- -u_-}\frac{1 - q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}}{1 - q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{v})\ ;\ \mathcal{R}(q^2\bar{\mathbf{v}}) = q^{v_- -u_-}\frac{1 - q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}}{1 - q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}} \cdot \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathbf{v}})$$

The solutions have the form

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\mathbf{v}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\mathbf{v}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+ -2v_-}\right)}\ ;\ \mathcal{R}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}) = \bar{\mathbf{v}}^{\frac{v_- -u_-}{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\bar{\mathbf{v}}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2v_- -2u_+ +2}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_- -2u_+ +2}\right)}$$

and equal initial conditions $\mathcal{R}(1) = 1$ so that they coincide.

6.3 The limit $q \to 1$ for the operators $\mathcal{R}^{\pm}_{12}$

Now we are going to show that in the case $q \to 1$ the expression (6.1)

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-}\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-}\right)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-1}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2u_-+2z_1\partial_1} + q^{u_+-u_-}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1-q^{2z_1\partial_1}\right)\right)\cdot$$

$$\cdot q^{(v_--u_-)z_1\partial_1}\cdot \mathbf{e}_{q^2}\left(q^{2u_+-2v_-+2z_1\partial_1} + q^{u_+-v_-}\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1-q^{2z_1\partial_1}\right)\right)$$

reproduces the expression [25]

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} = \frac{\Gamma(u_+-u_-)}{\Gamma(u_+-v_-)}\frac{\Gamma(z_{12}\partial_1+u_+-v_-)}{\Gamma(z_{12}\partial_1+u_+-u_-)}.$$

Using the definition of the function

$$\Gamma_q(z) = \frac{(q;q)_\infty\cdot(1-q)^{1-z}}{(q^z;q)_\infty} = \frac{\mathbf{e}_q(q^z)\cdot(1-q)^{1-z}}{\mathbf{e}_q(q)}$$

and the well known fact that $\Gamma_q(z) \to \Gamma(z)$ for $q \to 1$ we obtain the leading contribution for $\epsilon \to 0$

$$\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1-\epsilon}(1-\epsilon\cdot x)}{\mathbf{e}_{1-\epsilon}(1-\epsilon\cdot y)} \to \epsilon^{x-y}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(y)}.$$

In the first order in ϵ we have ($q^2 \to 1-\epsilon$)

$$q^{2a} \to 1-\epsilon a\ ,\ q^{2b+2z_1\partial_1} + q^b\frac{z_2}{z_1}\left(1-q^{2z_1\partial_1}\right) \to 1-\epsilon\left(b+z_{12}\partial_1\right)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}^{-}_{12} \to \frac{\mathbf{e}_{1-\epsilon}\left(1-\epsilon(u_+-u_-)\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{1-\epsilon}\left(1-\epsilon(u_+-v_-)\right)}\cdot\frac{\mathbf{e}_{1-\epsilon}\left(1-\epsilon\left(u_+-u_-+z_{12}\partial_1\right)\right)}{\mathbf{e}_{1-\epsilon}\left(1-\epsilon\left(u_+-v_-+z_{12}\partial_1\right)\right)} \to \frac{\Gamma(u_+-u_-)}{\Gamma(u_+-v_-)}\frac{\Gamma(z_{12}\partial_1+u_+-v_-)}{\Gamma(z_{12}\partial_1+u_+-u_-)}$$

For the operator $\mathcal{R}^{+}_{12}$ all calculations are similar and in the limit $q \to 1$ one reproduces the expression [25]

$$\mathcal{R}^{+}_{12} = \frac{\Gamma(v_+-v_-)}{\Gamma(u_+-v_-)}\frac{\Gamma(z_{21}\partial_2+u_+-v_-)}{\Gamma(z_{21}\partial_2+v_+-v_-)}.$$

References.

[1] L.N. Lipatov, *High-energy asymptotics of multicolor QCD and exactly solvable lattice models*, Padova preprint DFPD-93-TH-70B; and
JETP Lett. B342 (1994)596.

[2] L. D. Faddeev and G. P. Korchemsky, *High-energy QCD as a completely integrable model,* Phys. Lett. B **342** (1995) 311 [arXiv:hep-th/9404173].

[3] V. M. Braun, S. E. Derkachov and A. N. Manashov, *Integrability of three-particle evolution equations in QCD,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 2020 [arXiv:hep-ph/9805225];

[4] R.J. Baxter, *Eight Vertex Model In Lattice Statistics And One-Dimensional Anisotropic Heisenberg Chain.* Annals Phys. **76** (1973) 1, 25, and 48; *Exacly soved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic press, London, 1982

[5] V.Bazhanov, S.Lukyanov, A.Zamolodchikov *"Integrable structure of Conformal Field Theory II. Q-operator and DDV equation"*, Commun.Math.Phys. **190** (1997) , 247-278 , hep-th/9604044

[6] A.Antonov, B,Feigin *"Quantum group representation and Baxter equation"*, Phys.Lett. **B392** (1997) , 115-122 , hep-th/9603105

[7] V.Pasquier and M.Gaudin, *The periodic Toda chain and a matrix generalization of the Bessel function recursion relations*, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.**25**(1992),5243-5252

[8] V.B.Kuznetsov, M.Salerno, E.K.Sklyanin, Quantum Backlund transforation for the integrable DST model, J.Phys.A **33**(2000)171-189, solv-int/9908002

[9] G.P.Pronko, On the Baxter's Q-operator for the XXX spin chain, Commun.Math.Phys. **212**: 687-701, 2000 hep-th/9908179

[10] A.E.Kovalsky and G.P.Pronko Baxter Q-operators for integrable DST chain, nlin.SI/0203030 A.E.Kovalsky and G.P.Pronko Baxters Q-operators for the simplest q-deformed model, nlin.SI/0307040

[11] M.Rossi, R.Weston, *A Generalized Q-operator for $U_q(\hat{sl_2})$ Vertex Models*, J.Phys.A **35**(2002) 10015-10032 , math-ph/0207004

[12] A.Zabrodin *Commuting difference operators with elliptic coefficients from Baxter's vacuum vectors*, J.Phys.A **33**(2000) 3825, math.QA/9912218

[13] E.K. Sklyanin, *private communication*

[14] E.K. Sklyanin, *Backlund transformations and Baxter's Q-operator*, In: Integrable systems:from classical to quantum(Montreal ,QC, 1999),227-250, CRM Proc.Lecture Notes **26**, Amer.Math.Soc.,Providence,RI,2000 nlin.SI/0009009

[15] A.Yu.Volkov *"Quantum lattige KdV equation"*, Lett.Math.Phys. **39** (1997) , 313 - 329 , hep-th/9509024

[16] V.V.Bazhanov and Yu.G.Stroganov, *Chiral Potts model as a descendant of the six vertex model*, J.Stat.Phys.**51**(1990) 799-817

[17] V.O.Tarasov, *Cyclic monodromy matrices for $s\ell(n)$ trogonometric R-matrices*, Commun.Math.Phys.**158** (1993)459-483

[18] A.A.Belavin, A.V.Odessky, R.A.Usmanov, *New relations in the algebra of the Baxter Q-operators*, hep-th/0110126

[19] M.Jimbo *"A q-difference analogue of U(g) and the Yang-Baxter equation"*, Lett.Math.Phys.**10**(1985) 63-69 M.Jimbo *"Quantum R-matrix for the generalized Toda system"*, Comm.Math.Phys.**102**(1986) 537-547

[20] S. E. Derkachov, *Baxter's Q-operator for the homogeneous XXX spin chain*, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.**32**(1999) 5299-5316, arXiv:solv-int/9902015.

[21] S. E. Derkachov, G. P. Korchemsky and A. N. Manashov, *Noncompact Heisenberg spin magnets from high-energy QCD. I: Baxter Q-operator and separation of variables*, Nucl. Phys. B **617** (2001) 375 [arXiv:hep-th/0107193].

[22] M.Kirsh and A.N.Manashov *Noncompact SL(2,R) spin chain* JHEP 0406;035, 2004 hep-th/0405030

[23] C. Korff, *A Q-operator identity for the correlation functions of the infinite XXZ spin-chain,* J. Phys. A **38** (2005) 6641 [arXiv:hep-th/0503130];
Auxiliary matrices for the six-vertex model and the algebraic Bethe ansatz, J. Phys. A **37** (2004) 7227 [arXiv:math-ph/0404028];
Representation theory and Baxter's TQ equation for the six-vertex model Contribution to the RIMS workshop on solvable lattice models, Kyoto, July 2004, [arXiv:cond-mat/0411758].

[24] A.Bytsko and J.Teschner, *Quantization of models with noncompact quantum group symmetry*, to appear

[25] S. E. Derkachov, *Factorization of the R-matrix.I,* arXiv:math.qa/0503396.

[26] S. E. Derkachov, *Factorization of R-matrix and Baxter's Q-operator,* arXiv:math.qa/0507252.

[27] D. Karakhanyan, R. Kirschner and M. Mirumyan, *Universal R operator with deformed conformal symmetry,* Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 529 [arXiv:nlin.si/0111032].

[28] L.D. Faddeev, *"How Algebraic Bethe Ansatz works for integrable model"*, Les-Houches lectures 1995, hep-th/9605187

[29] A.G.Bytsko and A.Doikou *Thermodynamics and conformal properties of XXZ chains with alternating spins*, J.Phys.A **37** (2004)4465-4492 , hep-th/0312012
A.G.Bytsko and J.Teschner *R-operator, co-product and Haar measure for the modular double of* $\mathrm{U}_q(s\ell(2,R))$, Commun.Math.Phys.**240** (2003)171-196 , arXiv:math.qa/0208191

[30] I. Krichever and A. Zabrodin, *Vacuum curves of elliptic L operators and Representations of Sklyanin algebra*, arXiv:solv-int/9801022.

[31] A.N.Kirillov, *"Dilogarithm identities"*, Progr.Theor.Phys.Suppl.**118** (1995) 61-142, hep-th/9408113

[32] L. D. Faddeev and R. M. Kashaev, *Quantum Dilogarithm,* Mod. Phys. Lett. A **9** (1994) 427 [arXiv:hep-th/9310070].

[33] L. D. Faddeev and A. Yu. Volkov, *Algebraic quantization of Integrable models in discrete space-time,* in : Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its applications **16** (1999) 301-320 [arXiv:hep-th/97010039].

[34] R. M. Kashaev, *Quantum dilogarithm as a 6j symbol,* Mod. Phys. Lett. A **9** (1994) 3757 [arXiv:hep-th/9411147]. R. M. Kashaev, *The q-binomial formula and the Rogers dilogarithm identity,* [arXiv:math.QA/0407078].

[35] A.Yu.Volkov *"Noncommutative Hypergeometry"*, math.QA/0312084